VBHC ASSESSMENT GUIDE JANUARY 2020

Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement

Fondation canadienne pour l'amélioration des services de santé

This document was created by the Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement which has now amalgamated with the Canadian Patient Safety Institute to become Healthcare Excellence Canada. There may still be references to the former organizations as well as their logos and visual identities.

Why use this assessment tool?

The purpose of this tool is to assess an organizations readiness to undertake a value-based healthcare initiative.

The tool can help leadership understand areas of strength and also areas where further work is necessary before implementation. Using it can prevent wasting energy from trying to implement an initiative that does not have sufficient support and/or enabling structures to be successful. It is meant as a discussion tool to support informed decision making and to help set realistic expectations.

Using this tool can help you, your team, or your organization determine:

- Areas of strength;
- Areas that require further planning and development;
- Next steps to consider

Suggestions for using this tool

Self-assessment will work best if:

- Perspectives from different decision-makers and interested people in your organization are reflected in the answers. The answers can be completed separately in advance or done together as a group with discussions as you go;
- The answers are collated and used for the second half of the tool with summary results and discussion; and
- You consider the suggestions in determining the next steps in your value-based project

WHO TO INVOLVE IN THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Facilitator: The program lead or another person, not directly responsible for the program, who is knowledgeable about change management/ implementation science.

Participants: Program champion(s), leadership sponsors(s), patient/ family representatives, implementation lead(s), direct care staff, decision support/data analysis, and finance representatives.

Remember: Since this is an assessment, there are no right or wrong answers!

Instructions

 Scan through the 12 main criteria to get an overview of the main areas for assessment. Refer to criteria definitions on page A-06

PART 1

Meaningful Metrics Outcomes and cost data Clear Scope Material Impact

PART 2

A-05

A-04

Capacity/Skill for VBHC

Dedicated Resources

- Clinical Leadership along the care pathway
- Permeability Between Silos

Supportive Policy and Structures

Aligned Payment Models

Proven Solutions

Time to Achieve Value

2. For each section:

Facilitator:

- Lead a brief discussion to produce a "sense of the group" for each of the sections.
- Circle the consensus statement on a master copy of the assessment tool. If some participants dissent from the consensus, note the range of outliers.
- Use the leading questions to discuss identified strength(s) and weakness(es) and areas for action.

Participants:

- Please circle the appropriate statement you feel captures your organization's level of readiness.
- Identify what you see as the top strength(s) for each section as well as the weakest element(s).

PART 1

Name:

	RATING •	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
Meaningful Metrics		Development needed	Proposed metrics	Established and tested metrics
Outcomes and cost data		No relevant data exist	Partial data exist, e.g. baseline or cost data only	On-going tracking of 'fit-for-purpose' cost and outcomes data
Clear Scope		Lack of clear scope definition	Some aspects of scope defined; others unknown	Well-defined scope
Material Impact		Unknown or limited impact	Modest likely impact	High probability of large impact

TOP S	RENGTHS	WEAKEST ELEMENTS		
SUMMARY	LESSONS LEARNED/NEXT STEPS How can you use these strengths to promote implementation of the VBHC initiative?	SUMMARY	LESSONS LEARNED/NEXT STEPS What can you do to address these gaps?	

Refer to criteria definitions on page A-06

PART 2

Name:

CRITERIA 🔻	RATING •	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH	
Capacity/Skill for VBHC		Limited or no capacity/experience	Plan to secure capacity/skills	Required capacity and skills in place	
Dedicated Resources		Sufficient resources not confirmed	Short-term resources in place	Sustainable resources identified	
Clinical Leadership		Not identified	Champions identified	Active clinical leadership in place	
Permeability Between Silos		Existing silos create barriers	Plan for addressing silos	Health system well-aligned for VBHC scope	
Supportive Policy and Structures		Barriers outside team's authority to influence	Workarounds possible	Well-aligned policy and structures	
Aligned Payment Models		Existing models create barriers	Workable or one-time payment models	Well-aligned models	
Proven Solutions		Mechanisms to grow value unclear	Proven solutions in different settings/context	Solutions proven in similar contexts	
Time to Achieve Value		Extended or unknown period	Value gains will only come in longer-term	Value can be achieved soon and sustained	
	TOP STRENG	THS	WEAKEST ELEMENTS		
SUMMARY		LESSONS LEARNED/NEXT STEPS How can you use these strengths to promote implementation of the VBHC initiative?	SUMMARY	LESSONS LEARNED/NEXT STEPS What can you do to address these gaps?	

Refer to criteria definitions on page A-06

Definitions

Meaningful Metrics: Clearly defined metrics that reflect outcomes that are important to patients/families and show the value of a change or improvement, both in terms of its costs and its outcomes. It's ideal to use previously validated metrics when possible. (The metrics don't have to be perfect but must be capable of showing change or improvement.)

Outcomes and Cost Data: Data that show the impact of the change or improvement on patient outcomes, and the costs related to various services or deliverables across the patient journey. Where possible it is best to leverage existing data and financial systems to align with broader quality improvement initiatives and to reduce survey burden on patients.

Clear Scope: An understanding of the target population as well as the care pathway. This includes defining the members of the population who will move in and out of the target group over time – which will be important in order to understand the impact of changes on outcomes and value.

Material Impact: The level of effort required to make the change or improvement needs to correspond with the extent of value that is likely to result – making the effort worth it in the end. Also, the people undertaking the change or improvement will want to see the benefits of the increased value.

Capacity/Skills: VBHC requires leadership, change capacity, and skills beyond traditional performance measurement and reporting, with expertise in many of the categories outlined here. While some of these skills may be developed over time and through the implementation of value-based work, it is important to consider whether those involved are ready and have the capacity to proceed.

Dedicated Resources: Resources needed to make sure the healthcare change or improvement provides value. Consider whether there is appropriate funding for the initiative and dedicated staff time and leadership resources.

Clinical Leadership: It is critical that clinical leaders are engaged and effective along the care pathway.

Permeability between Silos: Health sectors or organizations involved share responsibility for costs and outcomes. Consider whether there are care pathways, if systems are integrated or if they can be integrated to allow this to happen, e.g. if appropriate information and resource sharing mechanisms are in place.

Supportive Policy and Structures: Governance, accountability structures and policy/regulations need to support implementation.

Aligned Payment Models: It is important that payment models allow the flexibility to pursue value, and that they enable higher value behaviours and decisions.

Proven Solutions: Solutions that have been tried and tested and have worked effectively in a similar context. Consider whether there are solutions that have already been developed which are proven to be more effective than the status quo. Note, open-innovation models may specify a problem to draw out possible solutions.

Time to achieve value: Achieving value takes effort and time. Are the time horizons aligned with funding and planning cycles? Do the stakeholders have realistic expectations of the time required? Different initiatives will require different amounts of time. For example, a procurement initiative may take less time, and a social impact bond may take more time.

OUR VISION

To be an indispensable partner in shaping better healthcare for everyone in Canada.

OUR MISSION

We work shoulder-to-shoulder with partners to accelerate the identification, spread and scale of proven healthcare innovations.

OUR IMPACT

Lasting improvement in patient experience, health, work life of healthcare providers and value for money.

This guide is available online at cfhi-fcass.ca/vbhc

VBHC Assessment Guide © 2020 Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement.

The Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement (CFHI) is a not-for-profit organization funded by Health Canada.

The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of Health Canada.

All rights reserved. This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for non-commercial purposes only and on the condition that the original content of the publication or portion of the publication not be altered in any way without the express written permission of CFHI. To seek this permission, please contact **info@cfhi-fcass.ca**.

To credit this publication please use the following credit line: "Reproduced with the permission of the Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement, \bigcirc 2020"

Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement (CFHI) 150 Kent Street, Suite 200 Ottawa, ON K1P 0E4