

AUGUST 2021

EVIDENCE BRIEF ADDENDUM: ESSENTIAL CARE PARTNERS EMERGING EVIDENCE

INTRODUCTION

Essential care partners (often informal family caregivers) are estimated to provide over 66.5 billion dollars' worth of care annually in Canada,^[1] yet during the COVID-19 pandemic, early and tight blanket visitor restrictions limited the ability of caregivers to provide supportive care in health and care facilities¹. These restrictions failed to differentiate between the role of visitors and essential care partners. The initial Evidence Brief^[2] dated November 2020, provided a synopsis of growing evidence regarding the presence of essential care partners in four key areas:

- Benefits of family caregiver presence
- Changes in family presence policies in hospitals across Canada
- Transmission of COVID-19 in hospitals and long-term care
- The impact of restrictive visiting policies on patients², caregivers, and healthcare providers.

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, this body of evidence continues to grow. This 'Evidence Brief Addendum' adds to the initial 'Evidence Brief' by providing an updated synopsis of evidence regarding the presence of essential care partners that has emerged between the fall of 2020 and July 2021. The benefits of essential care partner presence have been well-established. This Addendum focuses on the following three key areas:

- **Caregiver presence policies that have continued to change throughout the COVID-19 pandemic across Canada:** Increased understanding of COVID-19, notable impacts of restrictive policies on patients, and community transmission rates influenced the ongoing changes to provincial and territorial directives related to visitor policies throughout the pandemic. More recent directives indicate that a more balanced approach to enable the physical presence of caregivers. However, implementation of these directives remains inconsistent within provinces, territories and regions, even in times of reducing COVID-19 prevalence and increasing vaccine uptake.
- **Transmission of COVID-19 in hospitals and long-term care:** Numerous studies conducted through the pandemic are consistent with pre-pandemic literature, which demonstrates family presence does not increase rates of COVID-19 transmission when supported and highlights the success of infection and prevention control measures that support safety and limits transmission in health and care settings.
- **Impact of restrictive visiting policies during COVID-19 in hospitals and long-term care:** There is a significant amount of evidence that highlights the multitude of risks to the care, safety, and outcomes of patients, as well as impact on families, health and care providers, and the health system.

1 Health and care organizations refer to any setting where a person receives healthcare or care including hospitals, long term care/nursing homes/advanced care homes, and other congregate care settings.

2 Patient refers to anyone receiving healthcare or care in any setting. This can include patients, clients, healthcare users and those living in congregate care/long-term care/nursing homes/advanced care homes.

Caregiver Presence Policies

The COVID-19 pandemic created major changes throughout the world, including substantial shifts in healthcare policy and practice in Canada. Fear regarding transmission of COVID-19, particularly in the initial stages of the pandemic, resulted in significant changes to visitor policies in many health and care facilities and did not differentiate visitors from essential care partners, prohibiting essential care partners from having access to patients.^[3]

Wave one: In an environmental scan conducted in Spring 2020 of publicly available provincial and territorial directives, it was evident that guidance had been led by provincial/ territorial pandemic task forces and command tables. The resultant blanket visitor restrictions failed to distinguish between a visitor and that of a family member and/or caregiver who actively participates and partners in patient care – an essential care partner. The majority of directives were highly restrictive, with a few notable exceptions in paediatrics, birthing mothers, and end-of-life care. Further nuancing of these directives for the most part did not consider medically vulnerable populations (including those with cognitive impairment) and caused significant distress to patients. Media reports throughout wave one highlighted many instances of patients dying alone without support of their loved ones, and the emotional and morale anguish experienced by caregivers and healthcare providers.

Wave two: By the Fall of 2020, there began to be public calls to recognize the multitude of risks because of the restrictive visiting policies and for a more balanced approach to enable the safe re-integration of essential care partners.^[4-6] Another environmental scan of publicly available provincial/territorial directives showed a move to allowing some essential care partners to be physically present and noted the importance of including them in infection prevention and control measures.^[7-9] However, in most cases, essential care partners were allowed in a very limited capacity

(i.e., only for certain patients in specific circumstances), with limited numbers (e.g., 1-2 essential care partners only, with only one allowed to be present at a time, and/or during limited times during the day). The definition of what was ‘essential’ was mostly left up to the facilities to define, and in some cases, individual managers on units within facilities, creating inconsistencies in how provincial/territorial policy directives were operationalized.

Wave three: A third environmental scan of directives was conducted in March 2021 and showed many provinces moving to a regional approach. Colours or levels were assigned to areas based on COVID-19 prevalence and essential care partner policies varied depending on the assigned level, considering regional variations of community transmission. In areas with less prevalence, there may be two or more essential care partners allowed, while in areas with higher prevalence, restrictions continued with allowances made for certain patients and circumstances, such as end-of-life. The language has started to shift to differentiate between visitor and essential care partner, and there is recognition of the unintended harm experienced by patients in earlier waves with blanket restrictions. However, inconsistency of application of provincial/ territorial directives has continued, with variation within and across regions.

Where We Are Now: A recent environmental scan of policies was conducted in July 2021 and showed minimal changes to policies in hospitals, where limited numbers of essential care partners are permitted entry. There has been a significant shift in policies allowing both essential care partners and general visitors into long term care facilities. The high rates of vaccination in long-term care facilities among residents and their essential care partners has provided a level of protection against transmission to enable these changes^[10] Vaccinations have been prioritized for long-term care worldwide, and the efficacy for both infection and transmission are strong. As a result, governments around the world have lifted many of the initial restrictions.^[10-14] In general, COVID-19 vaccines have been shown to be highly effective and have been a key enabler to support family presence and remove restrictions.^[15,16]

In some provinces, high rates of vaccination have resulted in allowing more essential care partners access within hospitals. Health and care facilities are being encouraged to consider vaccination status during requests for exemptions to the visitor restrictions. However, even with increasing rates of vaccination, and provincial policies that allow entry of essential care partners in both hospitals and long-term care facilities, there remain ongoing inconsistencies within regions and within facilities on how policies are implemented.^[17-19]

Transmission of COVID-19 in hospitals and long-term care

It is difficult to fully understand and study transmission specific to the presence of essential care partners because most studies conducted during COVID-19 are confounded by multiple changes to infection prevention and control procedures occurring at the same time as visitor restrictions.^[20,21] However, the evidence does not substantiate family members or designated support people as vectors of transmission.^[20,22] Multiple reviews and briefs are calling for reintegration of essential care partners that highlight this lack of evidence of increased transmission, along with the numerous harms associated with blanket visitor restrictions.^[10,23,24]

Models and studies have found that patient segregation, population size in long-term care, cohorting, and universal masking have been key factors to reduce transmission in health and care facilities, rather than restricting visitors.^[25,26] In particular, studies in Singapore designed to assess changes in transmission due to visitor restrictions found that when restrictions were removed for essential care partner, there was no increase in COVID-19 transmission. Up to five essential care partners were allowed to be physically present and maintained all other precautions,^[25,27] indicating that with proper infection control measures essential care partners could be safely present within health and care facilities.^[27,28]

Impacts of restrictive visiting policies during COVID-19 in hospitals and long-term care

The negative impact of restrictive policies was known prior to COVID-19 due to lessons learned in past pandemics such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003. Sadly, many of the same harms have been highlighted in the literature emerging throughout COVID-19, and particularly in the early days of the pandemic.^[2] Over the past six months, there has been an increasing body of evidence that demonstrates how visitor restrictions, including restrictions on essential care partners, have had negative consequences for patients and caregivers, as well as healthcare providers, and the health system in general.

Patients: Recent studies of the impact of visitor restrictions on patients during COVID-19 have shown negative impacts on patients' physical and mental health, experience of care, and safety. In terms of physical health, studies have shown a reduction in physical abilities and nutritional intake, increased pain and symptoms, and increased agitation and aggression.^[29] For newborns, especially those in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), breastfeeding has been negatively impacted, with many mothers being unable to breastfeed since they could not be present with their babies.^[16,30] Mental health has been negatively impacted, with studies indicating increased psychological and emotional distress, and anxiety.^[29,31,32] Increased rates of cognitive decline and delirium have also been shown both in older people living in long term care^[29] and in patients of all ages in hospital.^[33] Negative experience has been measured through patient experience surveys and a reduction in satisfaction and experience has also been shown.^[15,29,34] Additionally, Non-COVID-19 patients have had longer stays in the ICU since visitor restrictions have led to delays in decision making regarding treatments before death.^[15,35] Patient safety has also been negatively impacted with studies showing

increased rates of falls and sepsis.^[34] Additionally, processes known to improve outcomes have been negatively impacted with restrictions leading to poorer sharing of information, communication and decision making,^[15,35-37] and negative impacts to medication reconciliation.^[38]

Caregivers: Recent literature highlights the impact on caregiver health, experience, and increased difficulties with transitions in care. Caregivers have reported increased psychological and emotional distress and anxiety,^[29,31,32] as well as increased social isolation.^[30] Visitor restrictions have resulted in poor experiences of grief since many loved ones passed away without family present.^[15] Other poor experiences include reduction in family support,^[32,37] and negatively impacted parental bonding with newborns.^[16,29,30] Poor communication with caregivers and an increased need for communication has been reported.^[29,34,37,39] Transitions in care, already a noted area of concern, has been further disrupted with a lack of preparedness for discharge that has been compounded with caregivers' lack of confidence and competence to provide care for patients at home.^[39]

Healthcare Providers: Healthcare providers have also been negatively impacted by visitor restrictions, notably on their health and well-being as well as on their experience of providing care. Healthcare providers have experienced secondary trauma,^[15] as well as increased psychological and emotional distress, anxiety and depression.^[16,29,31,40] because of having to implement policies that contradict what they know and understand of person-centred care. Visitor restrictions have resulted in new tasks and added to their workload. Examples include needing time to learn new technology for virtual visits, increasing social support to patients, and more time needed to communicate with families.^[29] Studies have found that job satisfaction of nurses has decreased because of restrictions on visitors and essential care partners.^[34]

Health System: Recent studies have indicated that visitor restrictions have also had negative consequences on the health system, including reduced ICU capacity because of increased use by COVID-19 patients, causing undo strain on an already stressed system.^[15,35] There has been increased difficulty in accessing informed consent for clinical research.^[41] Additionally, visitor restrictions have magnified health disparities and further negatively impacted health equity.^[30,37,42,43]

Conclusion

As the COVID-19 pandemic has evolved, the essential role of essential care partners in the care of patients has become abundantly clear, as have the negative consequences because of blanket visitor restrictions.

Numerous resources have been developed by several organizations, including HEC's published Policy Guidance that offers seven specific elements that are intended to guide new policy to safely reintegrate family caregivers as essential partners in care.^[4] HEC has also worked with partners to develop the Essential Together program to support health and care facilities to implement this policy guidance. Through learning opportunities, resources and tools, and coaching support, facilities are supported to distinguish between general visitors from essential care partners, engage patients in the development of policies and processes for family caregiver presence, and implement practices and processes that welcome and support essential care partners to safely participate as part of the care team. The National Institute on Ageing recently published a guidance document considering the widespread vaccinations in long-term care homes across Canada that provides recommended policies for the safe re-entry of family caregivers and general visitors.^[10]

The need for a balanced approach to manage the limited risk of transmission and unintended harm of restrictive policies is now clear. Thankfully, reductions in COVID-19 and increasing uptake of effective vaccines, have resulted in less restrictive policies that enable the safe re-entry of essential care partners in some facilities. Although provincial and territorial directives have shifted with the changing contexts of the pandemic, the implementation of these policies at the facility level has not been consistent. There remains room for improvement to consistently welcome the safe presence of essential care partners. As we plan for the recovery phase, the system has the opportunity to demonstrate its resilience and learn from the lessons of this pandemic to build policies together with patients and essential care partners and ensure blanket restrictions are never used again. In times of crisis or not, essential care partners play a critical role in the care of patients. They are not visitors, and as we have seen through this pandemic, can learn and adhere to safety protocols that enable their safe presence to provide supportive care.

REFERENCES

1. Magnaye et al. 2020. Caregivers' failure to thrive: A case for health and continuing care systems transformation. [Caregivers' failure to thrive: A case for health and continuing care systems transformation - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#)
2. Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement. Evidence Brief: Caregivers as Essential Care Partners. (2020) [Evidence Brief: Caregivers as Essential Care Partners \(cfhi-fcass.ca\)](#)
3. Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement. "Much More Than Just a Visit: An Executive Summary of Policies in Select Canadian Acute Care Hospitals." Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement (2020), https://www.cfhi-fcass.ca/docs/default-source/itr/tools-and-resources/better_together_executive-summary_en.pdf.
4. Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement. (2020). Policy Guidance for the Reintegration of Caregivers as Essential Care Partners - [Policy Guidance for the Reintegration of Caregivers as Essential Care Partners \(cfhi-fcass.ca\)](#)
5. Stall, Nathan M., Jennie Johnstone, Allison J. McGeer, Misha Dhuper, Julie Dunning, and Samir K. Sinha. "Finding the Right Balance: An Evidence-Informed Guidance Document to Support the Re-Opening of Canadian Nursing Homes to Family Caregivers and Visitors during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic." Journal of the American Medical Directors Association 21, no. 10 (2020): 1365-1370
6. Toronto Region COVID-19 Hospital Operations Table. "Access to Hospitals for Visitors (Essential Care Partners): Guidance for Toronto Region Hospitals (Acute, Rehab, CCC) During the COVID-19 Pandemic.' October 2020. <https://www.oha.com/Documents/Access%20to%20Hospitals%20for%20Visitors%20-%20Oct%206.pdf>.
7. Government of Canada. (April 2020). Interim guidance: Infection prevention and control for COVID-19: Second interim guidance for acute healthcare settings. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-professionals/infection-prevention-control-covid-19-second-interim-guidance.html#a1>
8. Government of Canada. (July 2020). Interim guidance: Care of residents in long term care homes during the COVID-19 pandemic. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/guidance-documents/residents-long-term-care-homes-covid-19.html>
9. Government of Canada. (May 2020). Infection prevention and control for COVID-19: Interim guidance for outpatient and ambulatory care settings. https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/guidance-documents/interim-guidance-outpatient-ambulatory-care-settings.html#_Toc40651326
10. National Institute on Ageing. 2021. After the Shot: Guidance Supporting the Re-Opening of Canada's LTC Home Following COVID-19 Vaccination. [AftertheShot_0704+\(1\).pdf \(squarespace.com\)](#)
11. Low et al. 2021. Safe visiting is essential for nursing home residents during the COVID-19 pandemic: An international perspective. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. [Safe Visiting is Essential for Nursing Home Residents During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An International Perspective - Journal of the American Medical Directors Association \(jamda.com\)](#)
12. CDC. [Updated Healthcare Infection Prevention and Control Recommendations in Response to COVID-19 Vaccination](#)
13. Health Canada. [Priority strategies to optimize testing and screening in long-term care homes](#)
14. Salazar et al. 2021. High coverage COVID-19 mRNA vaccination rapidly controls SARS-CoV-2 transmission in Long-Term Care Facilities. [High coverage COVID-19 mRNA vaccination rapidly controls SARS-CoV-2 transmission in Long-Term Care Facilities | medRxiv](#)
15. Hart and Taylor. 2021. Family presence for critically ill patients during a pandemic. Chest. [Family Presence for Critically Ill Patients During a Pandemic - ScienceDirect](#)
16. Van Veenendaal et al 2021. Supporting parents as essential care partners in neonatal units during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Acta Paediatrica. [Supporting parents as essential care partners in neonatal units during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic - Veenendaal - - Acta Paediatrica - Wiley Online Library](#)
17. Jaswaney et al. 2021. Hospital Policies During COVID-19: An Analysis of Visitor Restrictions. [Hospital Policies During COVID-19: An Analysis of Visitor Restrictions. - Abstract - Europe PMC](#)
18. Fiest et al. 2021. An environmental scan of visitation policies in Canadian intensive care units during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. [An environmental scan of visitation policies in Canadian intensive care units during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic | SpringerLink](#)

19. Canadian Press. 2021. Patchwork of hospital visitor restrictions in place across Ontario. [Patchwork of hospital visitor restrictions in place across Ontario | CTV News](#)
20. Munshi et al. 2021. The case for relaxing no-visitor policies in hospitals during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. CMAJ. [The case for relaxing no-visitor policies in hospitals during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic | CMAJ](#)
21. Vance et al. 2021. Visitor guidelines in US children's hospitals during COVID-19. Hospital Pediatrics. [Visitor Guidelines in US Children's Hospitals During COVID-19 | American Academy of Pediatrics \(aapublications.org\)](#)
22. Ontario Science Table. 2021. Impact of hospital visitor restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic. [Impact of Hospital Visitor Restrictions during the COVID-19 Pandemic - Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table \(covid19-sciencetable.ca\)](#)
23. Institute for Patient and Family Centered Care. 2021. Family presence during a pandemic: Guidance for decision making. [IPFCC_Family_Presence.pdf](#)
24. Ontario Science Table. 2021. Impact of Hospital Visitor Restrictions during the COVID-19 Pandemic. [Impact of Hospital Visitor Restrictions during the COVID-19 Pandemic - Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table \(covid19-sciencetable.ca\)](#)
25. Wee et al. 2021. Containment of COVID-19 and reduction in healthcare-associated respiratory viral infections through a multi-tiered infection control strategy. [Containment of COVID-19 and reduction in healthcare-associated respiratory viral infections through a multi-tiered infection control strategy - ScienceDirect](#)
26. Nguyen et al. 2021. IMPACT OF VISITATION AND COHORTING POLICIES TO SHIELD RESIDENTS FROM COVID-19 SPREAD IN CARE HOMES: AN AGENT-BASED MODEL: Controlling COVID-19 in care homes. [IMPACT OF VISITATION AND COHORTING POLICIES TO SHIELD RESIDENTS FROM COVID-19 SPREAD IN CARE HOMES: AN AGENT-BASED MODEL: Controlling COVID-19 in care homes - ScienceDirect](#)
27. Wee et al. 2020. The impact of visitor restrictions on health care-associated respiratory viral infections during the COVID-19 pandemic: Experience of a tertiary hospital in Singapore. [The impact of visitor restrictions on health care-associated respiratory viral infections during the COVID-19 pandemic: Experience of a tertiary hospital in Singapore - American Journal of Infection Control \(ajicjournal.org\)](#)
28. Passerelli et al. 2021. Asymptomatic COVID-19 in hospital visitors: The underestimated potential of viral shedding. [Asymptomatic COVID-19 in hospital visitors: The underestimated potential of viral shedding - International Journal of Infectious Diseases \(ijidonline.com\)](#)
29. Hegelius et al. 2021. Consequences of visiting restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic: An integrative review. [Consequences of visiting restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic: An integrative review - ScienceDirect](#)
30. Raphael et al. 2021. Unintended consequences of restrictive visitation policies during the COVID-19 pandemic: implications for hospitalized children. [Unintended consequences of restrictive visitation policies during the COVID-19 pandemic: implications for hospitalized children | Pediatric Research \(nature.com\)](#)
31. Crispo et al. 2020. A "good death" during coronavirus disease 2019: Outdoor terminal extubation facilities safe family presence for a dying patient. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management. [A "Good Death" During Coronavirus Disease 2019: Outdoor Terminal Extubation Facilitates Safe Family Presence for a Dying Patient - Journal of Pain and Symptom Management \(jpsmjournal.com\)](#)
32. Blankstein and Berman. 2021. Giving birth during the COVID-19 pandemic, perspectives from a sample of the United States birthing persons during the first wave: March-June 2020. [Giving birth during the COVID-19 pandemic, perspectives from a sample of the United States birthing persons during the first wave: March-June 2020 - Berman - - Birth - Wiley Online Library](#)
33. Kandori et al. 2020. Association between visitation restriction during the COVID-19 pandemic and delirium incidence among emergency admission patients. Journal of Intensive Care. [Association between visitation restriction during the COVID-19 pandemic and delirium incidence among emergency admission patients: a single-center retrospective observational cohort study in Japan | Journal of Intensive Care | Full Text \(biomedcentral.com\)](#)
34. Silvera et al. 2021. The influence of COVID-19 visitation restrictions on patient experience and safety outcomes: A critical role for subjective advocates. [TBI_ExecBrief_Visitation_Jun.pdf \(ymaws.com\)](#)
35. Azad et al. 2021. Coronavirus disease 2019 policy restricting family presence may have delayed end-of-life decisions for critically ill patients. Critical Care Medicine. [Coronavirus Disease 2019 Policy Restricting Family Presence May Have Delayed End-of-Life Decisions for Critically Ill Patients. - Abstract - Europe PMC](#)

36. Piscitello et al. 2020. Family meetings in the intensive care unit during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine. [Family Meetings in the Intensive Care Unit During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic - Gina M. Piscitello, Corinna M. Fukushima, Anna K. Saulitis, Katherine T. Tian, Jennifer Hwang, Shreya Gupta, Mark Sheldon, 2021 \(sagepub.com\)](#)
37. University of California San Francisco. 2021. COVID-19 hospital restrictions: Surveying impact on patient and family centred care. [COVID-19 Hospital Restrictions - Surveying Impact on Patient- and Family-Centered Care | pretermbirthca.ucsf.edu](#)
38. Herzig and Bethishou. 2021. The impact of COVID-19 on pharmacy transitions of care services. [The impact of COVID-19 on pharmacy transitions of care services - ScienceDirect](#)
39. Jutter-Leve et al. 2021. The Caregiver Experience After Stroke in a COVID-19 Environment: A Qualitative Study in Inpatient Rehabilitation. [The Caregiver Experience After Stroke in a COVID-19 Environment: A Qualitative Study in Inpatient Rehabilitation \(nih.gov\)](#)
40. Azoulay et al. 2020. Symptoms of anxiety, depression, and peritraumatic dissociation in critical care clinicians managing patients with COVID-19. A cross-sectional study. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. [Symptoms of Anxiety, Depression, and Peritraumatic Dissociation in Critical Care Clinicians Managing Patients with COVID-19. A Cross-Sectional Study | American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine \(atsjournals.org\)](#)
41. Van Driest et al. 2021. Research consent rates before and during a COVID-19 one-visitor policy in a children's hospital. [Research consent rates before and during a COVID-19 one-visitor policy in a children's hospital | Pediatric Research \(nature.com\)](#)
42. Public Health Agency of Canada. 2020. From Risk to Resilience: An Equity Approach to COVID-19. [From Risk to Resilience: AN EQUITY APPROACH to COVID-19](#)
43. Norton et al. 2020. Impact of hospital visitor restrictions on racial disparities in obstetrics. Health Equity. [Impact of Hospital Visitor Restrictions on Racial Disparities in Obstetrics | Health Equity \(liebertpub.com\)](#)